

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/017,961	12/07/2001	Geza Nemeth	0115-001	0115-001 7474	
37141 75	590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
FORTKORT GRETHER + KELTON LLP			LOBO, IAN J		
9442 N. Capital of Texas Hwy. Suite 500 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/017,961	NEMETH, GEZA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
lan J. Lobo	3662	

	lan J. Lobo	3662	ļ
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<u> </u>		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 24-26. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 19-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessard. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t does NOT also at the second		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(s)	,
		Ian J. Lobo Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 3662

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argument that Wilson et al does not disclose detecting a seismic event, converting analog electrical signals to digital signals, etc. at a receiver is not convincing since it is the examiner's position is that Wilson et al's DAU reads upon the claimed receiver and the geophones of Wilson et al read upon the claimed "seismic energy detector". Applicant's claims do not distinguish over this structure, as argued